4-30-04



Practitioner's Docket No. 944-003.101

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Kaikuranta

Application No.: 09/928,929

Filed: August 13, 2001

Group No.: 2675

Examiner: Chanh Duy Nguyen

FOI: METHOD FOR PREVENTING UNINTENDED TOUCH PAD INPUT DUE TO ACCIDENTAL

TOUCHING

**Commissioner for Patents** Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

MAY 0 5 2004

# REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) Technology Center 2600 (37 C.F.R. § 1.114)

1. Applicant hereby requests continued examination, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, for the above identified application.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 Request for continued examination:

(a) If prosecution in an application is closed, an applicant may request continued examination of the application by filing a submission and the fee set forth in § 1.17(e) prior to the earliest of:

- (1) Payment of the issue fee, unless a petition under § 1.313 is granted;
- (2) Abandonment of the application; or
- (3) The filing of a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 141, or the commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 146, unless the appeal or civil action is terminated.
- (b) Prosecution in an application is closed as used in this section means that the application is under appeal, or that the last Office action is a final action (§ 1.113), a notice of allowance (§ 1.311), or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

## CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10\*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

#### **MAILING**

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. § 1.10 \*

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. <u>EV452363531IIS</u> (mandatory)

#### TRANSMISSION

facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703)

Date: April 29, 2004

Cathy Wilcox

(type or print name of person certifying)

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 1 of 6)

05/03/2004 TBESHAH1 00000014 09928929

01 FC:1801

770.00 OP

(c) A submission as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, an information disclosure statement, an amendment to the written description, claims, or drawings, new arguments, or new evidence in support of patentability. If reply to an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111.

(d) If an applicant timely files a submission and fee set forth in § 1.17(e), the Office will withdraw the finality of any Office action and the submission will be entered and considered. If an applicant files a request for continued examination under this section after appeal, but prior to a decision on the appeal, it will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner. An appeal brief under § 1.192 or a reply brief under § 1.193(b), or related papers, will not be considered a submission under this section."

NOTE: An applicant may file a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 containing only an information disclosure statement (37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98) in an application subject to a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. § 151. An appeal brief or a reply brief (or related papers) will not be considered a submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See 37 CFR 1.114(d). The submission, however, may consist of the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief, or may simply consist of a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. In addition, a previously filed amendment after final may satisfy this submission requirement. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A5.

NOTE: Even though an RCE is improper (e.g., because it was filed before the prosecution is closed), an amendment submitted with the RCE will still be entered and considered by the examiner since it was timely filed and responsive to the non-final Office action in compliance with 37 CFR 1.111. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A4.

WARNING: 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and § 1.114 provide for the continued examination of an application and not examination of a continuing application). Accordingly, the Office will not permit an applicant to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

WARNING: The provisions of 37 CFR 1.114 also do not apply (1) to a provisional application; (2) an application for a utility or plant patent filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before June 8, 1995; (3) an international application filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before June 8, 1995; (4) a patent under reexamination or (5) an application for a design patent. 37 CFR § 1.114(e).

WARNING: The PTO has pointed out why § 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. The PTO explained that since an RCE filing is a reply under 35 U.S.C. 132, the applicant may be entitled to patent term adjustment if the Office does not act on an application containing a request for continued examination under § 1.114 within four months. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(ii). Thus, the Office cannot delay action on RCE applications for three months to determine whether an information disclosure statement will be filed. The Office, however, is adopting provisions (§ 1.103(c)) for a limited suspension of action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114, for the applicant to obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement (or amendments, or an affidavit or declaration) after the filing of the RCE. See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50100 (comment 11); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24

WARNING: One of the time periods excluded from patent term adjustment is the time consumed by a continued examination request under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) (§ 1.114(b) (1)).

WARNING: The Office will not suspend action in an application when a reply by the applicant is outstanding. 35 U.S.C. 133 requires an applicant to "prosecute the application" within six months of an Office action (or a shorter period as set in the Office action) to avoid abandonment of the application. If an applicant files a request for continued examination but does not also provide any submission (in reply to the prior Office action) within the period for reply to the prior Office action, the application is abandoned by operation of law (35 U.S.C. 133).

The Office will treat a request for continued examination under § 1.114 containing a bona fide submission that is not fully responsive to the prior Office action under the practice set forth in § 1.135(c). In addition, under the limited suspension of action provisions of § 1.103(c), an applicant must still file a request for continued examination practice in compliance with § 1.114, but may obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement, amendments, or an affidavit or declaration after the filing of the request for continued examination.

See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50102 (comment 20); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24] Page 50102

WARNING: Section 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.

NOTE: There is no limit to the number of times the fee for continued examination may be submitted. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

NOTE: Unlike a continuation application, a continued examination request **can** utilize the mailing procedure of 37 CFR 1.8. See 37 CFR § 1.8(a)(2)(i)(A).

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ \_770.00

## TIME REQUEST IS BEING MADE

2. T	. This request is being submitted (check appropriate item(s) below):						
i	X	Prior to abandonment of the application					
ii.		☐ Payment of the issue fee					
	☐ Prior to payment of issue fee						
		☐ Issue fee has been paid but a petition under § 1.313 has been granted					
iii.		Prior to a decision on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences					
		☐ A notice is being separately sent to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences that this Request for Continued Examination is being filed.					
NOT		such a notice is not sent to the Board then may refuse to vacate a decision rendered after the filing the RCE but before recognition by the Office of the RCE request under § 1.114.					
iv.	.  Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 145 or  Commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 146						
	☐ Prior to the filing of such appeal or commencement of civil action						
	☐ Such appeal or commencement of civil action has been terminated						
	ENCLOSURES						
3. E	nclos	ed herewith is/are:					
WAR	NING	If reply to a final or non-final Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111. 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(b).					
	An information disclosure (37 C.F.R. § 1.98)						
	☐ Form PTO-1449 (PTO/SB/08A and 08B)						
X	An amendment						
	New arguments						
	New evidence in support of patentability						
П	Other:						

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ 770.00

## FEE FOR REQUEST (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)).

<b>4.</b> T	his	a	pplication	is on be	half	of:							
	Ε	]	Small enti	ity (and	stat	us is still a	s sn	nall er	ntity)				\$375.00
	[2	0	Other tha	n a sma	ll er	ntity						:	\$750.00
									_				
						FEE FO	R C	LAIM	5				
NOT	E:	"7 (c	The fee for co f. 1.53 (d)(3)(i	ntinued ex i))." See N	kamii lotice	nation under §	§ 1.1 , 200	14 (§ 1 0, 65 F	.17(e)) do ed Reg 1	es not inc 4865, at 1	lude ad 4868.	ditional d	claims fee
		3	7 CFR 1.53(d)	(3): "The fi	ling t	fee for a conti	nued	prosec	ution appi	lication file	ed unde	er this par	agraph is:
						t forth in § 1.							
			of any amen any amendr	dment acc nents unde	omp er §	ie based on the anying the red 1.116 unenter ued prosecuti	quest ed in	for an the pri	applicatio or applica	n under ti	nis para	agraph an	d entry of
<b>5.</b> T	'nе	fe	e for clain	ns (37 C	.F.R	. § 1.16(b)	-(d))	has b	een cal	culated	as sh	iown be	elow:
			(Col. 1)			(Col. 2)	(C	ol. 3)	SMAL	L ENTITY			THAN A ENTITY
			CLAIMS IEMAINING AFTER MENDMENT		PF	GHEST NO. REVIOUSLY PAID FOR		ESENT XTRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL		•	12	MINUS	••	20	=	0	x\$9=	\$	•	x\$18=	\$
INDEP	۲.	•	3	MINUS	***	3	=	0	x\$42=	\$		x\$84=	\$
 □FIRS	ST F	PRE		OF MULT	IPLE	DEP. CLAIM			+\$140=	<b>\$</b>		+\$280=	\$
		·						AD	TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	0
•	if i	the the e " x i	"Highest No "Highest No. Highest No. in Col. 1 of a	<ul> <li>Previousl</li> <li>Previously</li> <li>prior ame</li> </ul>	y Pa y Pa Paid ndm	entry in Col. id for" IN THI id For" IN TH I For" (Total o ent or the nur	IS SP IIS SF or Ind	ACE is PACE is ep.) is 1	less than less than he highes	n 3, enter at number	"3."	in the ap	propriate
WA	RNI	NG	: See 37 C	.F.R. § 1.1	16.								
(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)													
(a)	5	Ø	No addition	onal fee	is r	equired.							
							OR						
(b)	٤	)	Total add	itional fe	e re	equired is \$	S			<del></del> •			
				<b>.</b>		O4' 4 F	:_	ntion /D	CE) (27 C	- CD & 4	114) [0	L641	on 4 of 6)

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 4 of 6)

## **EXTENSION OF TIME**

(If an extension of time is appropriate complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

	ne proceedings herein are f 1.136(a) apply.	for a patent application	on, and the provision	ons of 37 C.F.R.			
NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) " an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."							
(a)	(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time, the fees for which are set out in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4), for the total number of months checked below:						
E	Extension for	Fee for other than	Fee for				
	(months)	small entity	small entity				
	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00	•			
	two months	\$ 410.00	\$ 205.00				
	three months	\$ 930.00	\$ 465.00				
U	four months	\$ 1,450.00	\$ 725.00				
		Fee:	\$				
If an	additional extension of tin	ne is required, please	consider this a pe	tition therefor.			
		omplete the next iten					
An extension for months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.							
Extension fee due with this request \$							
OR							
(b) Applicant believes that no extension of time is required. However, this is a conditional petition and authorization to pay the necessary fees to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.							
TOTAL FEE(S) DUE							
WARN	ING: The fee for continued exam	nination under § 1.114 ma	y not be deferred, 37 C	.F.R. § 1.53(f).			
7. The total fee(s) due is/are:							
	\$770.00						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	\$						
Extension of time fee (if any) (§ 1.17(a)(1)-(4))							
	Total Fee(s) Due \$ <u>770.00</u>						
(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 5 of 6)							

## PAYMENT OF FEE(S) DUE

8. Please	e pay the fee(s) for this continue	d examination application as foll	ows:					
	Check is attached for the sum		\$ 770.00					
	Charge Account	the sum of	\$					
	Charge Credit Card the sum of		\$					
	(Credit Card Payment Form (P1	O-2038) attached)						
Please charge any required additional fee(s) for § 1.17(e), § 1.16(b)-(d) and/or § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) to								
<b>X</b>	Account <u>23-0442</u>		•					
☐ Credit Card (Credit Card Payment Form (PTO-2038) attached).								
	INVENTORSHIP							
	ny change of inventors must be via the p 0, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.	procedure set forth in 37 CFR § 1.48. Se	e Notice of March					
<b>9.</b> This a	pplication as amended names a	s inventors:						
X	the same inventors as previous	sly designated for the claims.						
	—							
	The tracking and a position under 27 CED							
	DEFERRAL OF EXAMINATION							
10.   A request for deferral of examination accompanies this request for continued examination.								
Reg. No.:	40,061	Ven Lao						
Tel. No.: (	203 ) 261–1234	(type or print name of practitioner) Ware, Fressola, Van Der Bradford Green, Building						
Customer	No.: <b>004955</b>	P.O. Address 755 Main Street, P.O. Bo Monroe, CT 06468-0224	ox 224					

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 6 of 6)